

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/710,404	07/08/2004	Che-Li Lin	12921-US-PA	4403
0.000	7590 03/27/200 N INTELLECTUAL P.		EXAMINER	
7 FLOOR-1, N	7 FLOOR-1, NO. 100 ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2 TAIPEI, 100 TAIWAN		XIAO, KE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TAIWAN			2629	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/27/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USA@JCIPGROUP.COM.TW

		A 11 47 )			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/710,404	LIN, CHE-LI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ke Xiao	2629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. hely filed the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ju</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This     Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the ore Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

Claims 3, 16 and 17 objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 3 recites the limitation "the drives" on line 3 which the examiner suggests be changed to -- the drivers --.

Claim 16 recites the limitation "source driver" on line 6 which the examiner suggests be changed to -- gate driver --.

Claim 17 recites the limitation "a protocol decoder" which the examiner suggests be changed to -- a protocol encoder -- because the "decoder" is for encoding as stated later in the claim.

Appropriate corrections are required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 12, 13 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Akahori (US 20050012705).

Regarding **Claim 1**, Akahori teaches a serial-protocol panel display system, suitable of using in a panel display apparatus (Akahori, Fig. 1), comprising:

a pixel array unit (Akahori, Fig. 1 element 100);

a plurality of drivers, used for driving the pixel array unit to display image (Akahori, Fig. 1 elements 101 and 102); and

a video graphic adapter (VGA) unit, according to a serial protocol, to export a serial protocol image display signal and a clock signal to a corresponding one of the drivers (Akahori, Fig. 1 elements SDC and horizontal sync wherein SD and horizontal sync are the serial protocol image display signals and C is the clock), wherein the drivers decode the serial protocol image display signal, so as to obtain a plurality of input signals, and to drive pixels of the pixel array unit (Akahori, Fig. 2 elements 202n).

Regarding **Claim 2**, Akahori further teaches a connector, coupled between the VGA unit and the drivers (Akahori, Fig. 1 connections lines between the VGA unit and the drivers).

Regarding **Claim 4**, Akahori inherently teaches a power source unit, to provide a plurality of voltage levels for use in the panel display system (Akahori inherently teaches a power supply because all displays *must* have power supplies to provide different voltages levels for the different ICs).

Regarding **Claim 5**, Akahori further teaches that the drivers include source drivers and gate drivers (Akahori, Fig. 1 elements 101 and 102).

Regarding **Claim 6**, Akahori further teaches that each of the source drivers includes:

a source input interface, receiving the serial protocol image display signal exported from the VGA unit and the clock signal (Akahori, Fig. 10 SDC), wherein the serial protocol image display signal and the clock signal are continuously transmitted to a next one of the source drivers (Akahori, Fig. 10 SDC are shifted from one driver to another), and are used for decoding out a plurality of source input signals in the input signals (Akahori, Fig. 10 elements 901n and 903n); and

a state in the art source driver respectively receiving the source input signals (Akahori, Fig. 10 elements 901n and 904n external setting terminal).

Regarding **Claim 12**, Akahori further teaches that the VGA unit includes:

a VGA chip (Akahori, Fig. 1 element 103); and

a protocol encoder, coupled with the VGA chip for encoding, and exporting the serial protocol image display signal and clock signal (Akahori, Fig. 1 element 103 outputs SDC and horizontal sync to the source and gate drivers respectively).

Regarding **Claim 13**, Akahori teaches a source driver for use in a panel display apparatus to drive corresponding pixels, comprising:

a source input interface, receiving the serial protocol image display signal and a clock signal (Akahori, Fig. 10 SDC), wherein the serial protocol image display signal and the clock signal are continuously transmitted to a next one of the source driver (Akahori, Fig. 10 SDC are shifted from one driver IC to the next), and are used for decoding out a plurality of source input signals (Fig. 10 SDC internal circuit); and

a state in the art source driver respectively receiving the source input signals (Akahori, Fig. 10 SDC elements 901n and 904n).

Regarding **Claim 17**, Akahori teaches a video graphic adapter, suitable for use in a panel display apparatus to receive image control signals (Akahori, Fig. 1 element 103), comprising:

a VGA chip, for receiving an image control signal; and a protocol encoder, coupled with the VGA chip for encoding, and exporting a serial protocol image display signal and a clock signal (Akahori, Fig. 1 element 103 outputs SDC and horizontal sync to the source and gate drivers respectively).

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kim (US 6,300,928)

Regarding **Claim 15**, Kim teaches a gate driver for use in a panel display apparatus to drive corresponding pixels, comprising:

a gate input interface, receiving the serial protocol image display signal (Kim, Fig. 4 start signal) and a clock signal (Kim, Fig. 4 CK1 and CK2), wherein the serial protocol image display signal and the clock signal are continuously transmitted to a next one of the gate driver, and are used for decoding out a plurality of gate input signals (Kim, Fig. 4, start and clock signals are shifted down the individual shift registers); and

a state in the art gate driver respectively receiving the gate input signals (Kim, Fig. 4 the individual shift registers).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the shift registers as taught by Kim in place of the generic row drivers in Akahori in order to minimizing input signals while at the same time allowing for rapidly switching inputs applied to the rows of the display (Kim, Col. 2 lines 60-65).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 7, 8, 10, 14 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akahori (US 20050012705).

Regarding Claim 3, Akahori further teaches a gamma correction unit, to provide image management information to a portion of the drivers (Akahori, Pg. 3 paragraph [0039]). Akahori fails to teach color information as claimed. The examiner takes official notice that it is well known in the art for liquid crystal display devices to include red green and blue data. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include red green and blue in the image display signal of Akahori instead of the generic image data in order to allow for a color display.

Regarding **Claim 7**, Akahori further teaches that the source input interface comprises:

a decoding unit, according to the serial protocol image display signal and the clock signal, decoding into the source input signals and exporting to the state in the art source driver (Akahori, Fig. 10 elements 902n and 903n); and

a switch unit, passing the serial protocol image display signal and the clock signal to the next one of the source drivers, and coupled with the decoding unit for exporting a decoded image information and the clock signal to the state in the art source driver (Akahori, Fig. 10 elements 100n).

Akahori fails to teach color information as claimed. The examiner takes official notice that it is well known in the art data for liquid crystal display devices to include red green and blue data. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include red green and blue in the image display signal of Akahori instead of the generic image data in order to allow for a color display.

Regarding Claims 8 and 10, Akahori fails to teach that the serial protocol image display signal includes red, green and blue. The examiner takes official notice that it is well known in the art data for liquid crystal display devices to include red green and blue data. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include red green and blue in the image display signal of Akahori instead of the generic image data in order to allow for a color display.

Regarding **Claim 14**, Akahori further teaches that the source input interface comprises:

a decoding unit, according to the serial protocol image display signal and the clock signal, decoding into the source input signals and exporting to the state in the art source driver (Akahori, Fig. 10 elements 902n and 903n); and

a switch unit, passing the serial protocol image display signal and the clock signal to the next one of the source drivers, and coupled with the decoding unit for exporting a decoded image information and the cock signal to the state in the art source driver (Akahori, Fig. 10 elements 100n).

Akahori fails to teach color information as claimed. The examiner takes official notice that it is well known in the art for liquid crystal display devices to include red green and blue data. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include red green and blue in the image display signal of Akahori instead of the generic image data in order to allow for a color display.

Regarding **Claim 18**, Akahori teaches a serial protocol panel display method, comprising:

receiving an image control signal and a clock signal (Akahori, Fig. 1 element 103 receives all signals);

encoding the image control signal into a serial protocol image display signal, according to a serial protocol (Akahori, Fig. 1 element 103 splits the signal into discrete pieces of SDC and horizontal sync);

sequentially transmitting the serial protocol image display signal and the clock signal to a plurality of first drivers (Akahori, Fig. 1 transmits to driver IC, first set of source drivers such as 1001 to 100i);

sequentially transmitting at least a portion of the serial protocol image display signal and the clock signal to a plurality of second drivers (Akahori, Fig. 1 transmits SDC to driver IC, second set of source drivers such as the drivers 100i+1 to 100n);

Application/Control Number: 10/710,404

Art Unit: 2629

decoding the serial protocol image display signal into a first set of control signals and a image information in each of the first drivers, used for pixel display (Akahori, Fig. 10 decodes and outputs image signals to the LCD for first set of drivers);

decoding the serial protocol image display signal into a second set of control signals in each of the second drivers (Akahori, Fig. 10 decodes and outputs image signals to the LCD for second set of drivers); and

driving the corresponding pixels, according to the first set of control signals, the second set of control signals, and the image information (Akahori, Fig. 1 using the decoded signals to drive the LCD 100).

Akahori fails to teach color information as claimed. The examiner takes official notice that it is well known in the art data for liquid crystal display devices to include red green and blue data. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include red green and blue in the image display signal of Akahori instead of the generic image data in order to allow for a color display.

Claims 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akahori (US 20050012705) in view of Kim (US 6,300,928).

Regarding **Claim 9**, Akahori fails to teach a gate driver as claimed. Kim teaches each of the gate drivers includes:

a gate input interface, receiving at least a portion of the serial protocol image signal exported from a VGA unit (Kim, Fig. 4 start signal) and a clock signal (Kim, Fig. 4 CK1 and CK2), wherein the serial protocol image display signal and the clock signal are

continuously transmitted to the next one of the gate drivers and are used for decoding out a plurality of gate input signals in the input signals (Kim, Fig. 4, start and clock signals are shifted down the individual shift registers); and

a state in the art gate driver, respectively receiving the gate input signals (Kim, Fig. 4 the individual shift registers).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the shift registers as taught by Kim in place of the generic row drivers in Akahori in order to minimizing input signals while at the same time allowing for rapidly switching inputs applied to the rows of the display (Kim, Col. 2 lines 60-65).

Regarding **Claim 11**, Kim further teaches that the gate input interface includes:

a decoding unit, according to the serial protocol image display signal and the clock signal, decoding into the gate input signals and exporting to the state in the art gate driver (Kim, Fig. 4 the individual shift registers are using the start signal to output to the individual rows); and

a switch unit passing the serial protocol image display signal and the clock signal to the next one of the gate drivers, and coupled with the decoding unit for exporting a clock signal to the state in the art gate driver (Kim, Fig. 4 M6 and r1 and Row1 to the next shift register).

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim (US 6,300,928).

Regarding Claim 16, Kim further teaches that the gate input interface comprises:

Application/Control Number: 10/710,404 Page 11

Art Unit: 2629

a decoding unit, according to the serial protocol image display signal and the clock signal, decoding into the gate input signals and exporting to the state in the art gate driver (Kim, Fig. 4 the individual shift registers are using the start signal to output to the individual rows); and

a switch unit, passing the serial protocol image display signal and the clock signal to the next one of the gate drivers, and coupled with the decoding unit for exporting a decoded image information and the cock signal to the state in the art gate driver (Kim, Fig. 4 M6 and r1 and Row1 to the next shift register).

Kim fails to teach color information as claimed. The examiner takes official notice that it is well known in the art for liquid crystal display devices to include red green and blue data. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include red green and blue in the image display signal of Akahori instead of the generic image data in order to allow for a color display.

Art Unit: 2629

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ke Xiao whose telephone number is (571)272-7776.

The examiner can be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-3638. The fax phone number for the organization where this application is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sumati Lefkowitz/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629

/Ke Xiao/ Examiner, Art Unit 2629